

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/583,903	06/01/2000	Siu-Leong Iu	1999-30	5347
23823	7590 03/25/2004		EXAMINER	
Digital Video Express, LP 1408 BAYSHIRE LANE			SONG, HOSUK	
Herndon, VA 20170			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
			2135	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·						
*	Application No.	pplicant(s)				
	09/583,903	IU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hosuk Song	2135				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ju	<u>une 2000</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	n is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 June 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2135

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3,9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zeng(US 6,373,974) in view of Leighton(US 5,949,885).

Claims 1,3,13-17,19: Zeng's patent discloses embedding watermark method receiving content and creating a continuous watermark sequence from watermark in (fig.1a). Zeng disclose sample mean and sample variance in (col.5,lines 49-67). Zeng discloses generating a set of content coefficients from content and generating a set of watermark coefficient from watermark sequence in (col.9,lines 20-40). Zeng discloses embedding watermark in content by adjusting amplitude of watermark coefficient so that distortion between the coefficient and associated watermark coefficients are minimized in (col.9,lines 24-47). Zeng does not specifically disclose using a secret mapping function. Leighton discloses secret mapping function used in watermark scheme in (col.5,lines 66-67 and col.6,lines 1-8). It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to employ secret mapping function as taught in Leighton with watermark embedding method disclosed in Zeng in order to enhance the security of is data and watermark can be easily identified and reconstructed of original offset watermark vector locally instead of retrieving the vector from a database. Zeng discloses outputting the content in (fig.1a).

Art Unit: 2135

Claim 2: Zeng discloses embedding watermark in the content is performed by adjusting the watermark coefficients sequentially in a predetermined order in (col.9,lines 26-44).

Claims 9,12: Neither Zeng nor Leighton specifically discloses different quantization step size. Official Notice is taken that different quantization step size is well known in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ different quantization step size such that image quality will not decline and survive the distortion channel.

Claim 11: Neither Zeng nor Leighton specifically discloses triangle mapping function.

Official notice is taken that triangle mapping function is well known in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use triangle mapping function in order to avoid distorting the texture of the image.

Claim 10: Neither Zeng nor Leighton specifically discloses sawtoothed function. Official Notice is taken that sawtoothed function is well known in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use sawtoothed function or continuous periodic function because watermark embedding function is a multi-one function in which plural input values in the defined range correspond to the same output value. Concretely, a process becomes simple when a simple function is used, therefore it is desirable to use a periodic function or sawtoothed function.

Claim 18: Neither Zeng nor Leighton specifically discloses first determined order is a raster scan order. Official notice is taken that raster scan order is well known in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ raster scan order in order to output signal or convert signals into recognizable symbols.

Claim 20: Zeng's patent discloses embedding watermark method receiving content and creating a continuous watermark sequence from watermark in (fig.1a). Zeng disclose sample mean and sample variance in (col.5,lines 49-67). Zeng discloses generating a set of content

Art Unit: 2135

coefficients from content and generating a set of watermark coefficient from watermark sequence in (col.9,lines 20-40). Zeng discloses embedding watermark in content by adjusting amplitude of watermark coefficient so that distortion between the coefficient and associated watermark coefficients are minimized in (col.9,lines 24-47). Zeng does not specifically disclose using a secret mapping function. Leighton discloses secret mapping function used in watermark scheme in (col.5,lines 66-67 and col.6,lines 1-8). It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to employ secret mapping function as taught in Leighton with watermark embedding method disclosed in Zeng in order to enhance the security of is data and watermark can be easily identified and reconstructed of original offset watermark vector locally instead of retrieving the vector from a database. Zeng discloses outputting the content in (fig.1a).

2. Claims 4-8,21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zeng(US 6,373,974) in view of Leighton(US 5,949,885) and further in view of Moskowitz et al.(US 5,905,800).

Claims 4-8: Neither Zeng nor Leighton specifically discloses key dependent sequences are key dependent random sequences. Moskowitz discloses key dependent random sequences in (col.3,lines 26-32,44-47). It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to employ key dependent random sequences as taught in Moskowitz with watermark insertion method disclosed in Zeng and Leighton to make watermark virtually impossible to find without permissive use of the key. Since key is random, key is protected against brute force attack. Moskowitz discloses uniform distribution of key dependent sequences in (col.3,lines 44-47).

Claims 21-23: Zeng disclose a noise source in (col.8,lines 46-51). Neither Zeng nor Leighton specifically discloses key dependent sequences are key dependent random

Art Unit: 2135

sequences. Moskowitz discloses key dependent random sequences in (col.3,lines 26-32,44-47). It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to employ key dependent random sequences as taught in Moskowitz with watermark insertion method disclosed in Zeng and Leighton to make watermark virtually impossible to find without permissive use of the key. Since key is random, key is protected against brute force attack. Moskowitz discloses uniform distribution of key dependent sequences in (col.3,lines 44-47). Zeng disclose sample mean and sample variance in (col.5,lines 49-67). Zeng discloses generating a set of content coefficients from content and generating a set of watermark coefficient from watermark sequence in (col.9,lines 20-40). Zeng discloses embedding watermark in content by adjusting amplitude of watermark coefficient so that distortion between the coefficient and associated watermark coefficients are minimized in (col.9,lines 24-47). Zeng does not specifically disclose using a secret mapping function. Leighton discloses secret mapping function used in watermark scheme in (col.5,lines 66-67 and col.6,lines 1-8). It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to employ secret mapping function as taught in Leighton with watermark embedding method disclosed in Zeng in order to enhance the security of is data and watermark can be easily identified and reconstructed of original offset watermark vector locally instead of retrieving the vector from a database. Zeng discloses outputting the content in (fig.1a).

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hosuk Song whose telephone number is 703-305-0042. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:00 am to 4:00 pm.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2135

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 703-305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).